Resolutions

In a country’s government, official documents are drafted, which aim to solve a specific situation. In the United Nations, similar documents are also drafted; these are called Resolution. Resolutions—in the UN and in MUN—are submitted by one member state, which is generally the largest contributor to the resolution and/or the most involved in the issue out of the merging group. Resolutions are not written by one member state, but are a combined effort between different member states who’ve taken interest in that specific issue. Although a MainSubmitter could contribute the most, that’s not to say that no one else can contribute, as every delegate has an equal opinion.

Resolutions, which are successful, are ones that can appeal to the majority of the parties (states) involved and doesn’t contradict. By giving solutions to both sides, which are just, and doesn’t punish one side completely, or favour the other side completely are more likely to pass as they can appeal to more member states in the house. Resolutions, which only touch on the factors involved in the issue, are generally more vague and less likely to pass, however, those that aim to resolve many or most of the factors involved are more likely to pass as they offer incentives to the various problems, which contribute to the issue.

Unlike in the Security Council1, Advisory Panel2 or in IGOs3, where resolutions are Ad Hoc4, resolutions in other committees are written before debate. There are three components to every resolution:

The Heading

The Heading is a mandatory part of resolutions. Though they don’t contribute to the content of a resolution, they address the committee; state the issue being resolved, the submitter of the resolution, and those who’ve co-submitted the resolution (signatories).

Preambulatory Clauses

The Preambulatory clauses describe what the issue is. They explain what the past and current situation is and state facts about the issue. You’ll find during the Lobbying stage, that for delegates who haven’t researched that specific issue as their focussed one, they are more likely to sign onto your topic if you’ve addressed and explained the situation of that issue well and have accurate facts about it.

Operative Clauses

The Operative clauses are the most essential part of a resolution. Strong Operative clauses lead to more delegates voting in favour of your resolution. The Operative clauses explain what can be done to resolve an issue. Please note that successful and strong operative clauses not only explain what can be done, but give possible sub-clauses on how it could be achieved.

On the following page one can find a sample resolution submitted by Japan on the Nuclear Disarmament of DPR Korea from the First Committee of the General Assembly (GA1)
Sample Resolution (Layout Annotation)

FORUM: GA1 (Disarmament and International Security)

QUESTION OF: Nuclear Disarmament of DPR Korea

SUBMITTED BY: Japan

CO-SUBMITTED BY: The United Kingdom, the Republic of France, the Russian Federation, the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, the Republic of India, the Netherlands, the Italian Republic, Canada, the Republic of South Africa, Republic of Korea, Singapore, Commonwealth of Australia, the Federative Republic of Brazil

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY,

Recalling previous relevant United Nations resolution 825 (1993), resolution 1540 (2004), resolution 1695 (2006), and, in particular, resolution 1737, which illustrates the active involvement of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) as an authority force in the situation,

Recognizing the need of action as per implementation by the IAEA and the Organisation on the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW),

Fully alarmed that DPR Korea has not signed onto the treaty created by the coalition of the Six Party Talks regarding possession of Nuclear Weaponry and Missiles,

Expressing the concern of how DPR Korea plans to launch Satellites tests into the air zone of many neighboring nations,

Further recognizing how DPR Korea has withdrawn from the terms of the Nuclear Non Proliferation Treaty (NNPT)

Noting with Concern how states that pose a threat to international peace and security are referred to as Rogue States,

Further recalling the numerous Six-Party Peace Talks between the People’s Republic of China, Japan, the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea, the Republic of Korea, the Russian Federation, and the United States of America,

Congratulating nations such as the Republic of Korea and the United States of America for their negotiations in the Six-Party Peace Talks,

Emphasizing the importance that DPR Korea respond to the other security and humanitarian alarms regarding their respective society,

Noting the need for peace and stability in DPR Korea,

Affirming the production and delivery of unauthorized Nuclear, Chemical, and Biological Weapons create a threat to international peace and security,
Articulating the great concern at the launch of ballistic missiles by DPR Korea,

Regarding that the launches are a violation of Article VII of the United Nations Charter,

1) Urges the use of IAEA and the OPCW in regard to:
   a) Monitoring the Chemical Weaponry of DPR Korea,
   b) Observing the use of Nuclear Power by DPR Korea,
   c) Examining the Satellites in regard to security and danger;

2) Calls for DPR Korea to terminate all Nuclear and Airborne Missile Activity by a set date, under the decision of the Six Party Talks, with the observance of the IAEA and the Security Council;

3) Invites the Security Council and the Six Party Talks members to discuss the nuclear status of DPR Korea;

4) Asks for the government of DPR Korea to:
   a) Allow the IAEA to:
      i) Monitor the disarming of all nuclear reactors,
      ii) Control the termination of all nuclear activity in regard to the agreements of the Six-Party Talks,
      iii) Report back to the Security Council and the Six-Party Talks of the progress of DPR Korea in their nuclear disarmament,
   b) Allow the United Nations to repossess all relevant:
      i) Documents,
      ii) Nuclear warheads,
      iii) Other Nuclear appliances and,
      iv) Technology, which will be returned to DPR Korea once they have come to terms of both the NNPT and the Six-Party Talks and are able to ensure the maintenance of world security if they are to possess Nuclear Weaponry;

5) Requests DPR Korea to be sanctioned from the international community if failure to act on the current resolution by seizing direct and indirect supply of:
   a) Weaponry as authorized and registered by the UNRCA (United Nations Register on Conventional Arms),
   b) Nutritional Resources,
   c) Raw Material,
   d) Energy,
   e) Luxury Goods if DPR Korea continue with their nuclear activities;

6) Suggests that the Six-Party Talks endorse that an embargo be negotiated where:
   a) Commodities being sanctioned against DPR Korea be agreed,
   b) All Six-Party Talks members ensure the lifting of the embargo and the notify DPR Korea of the time lapse of the embargo,
   c) DPR Korea ends their Nuclear Activity by the set time of the embargo, and if DPR Korea is to not comply to the terms of agreement, the embargo will:
      i) Be extended,
      ii) Restrict more commodities,
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iii) Be implemented immediately,
iv) The IAEA be given the jurisdiction to disarm DPR Korea under their discretion with the consultation of the Security Council;

7) Proposes that all member states, in conjunction with their respective legislations and national legal authorities, impose a tribunal for the National Leader of DPR Korea if they do not suspend their nuclear activity;

8) Further Calls for DPR Korea to sign the Six-Party Talks’ “Joint Statement” as drafted on the 19th of September 2005, which regards the conflict into stability, in hope for unilateral cooperation in their nuclear disarmament;

9) Appeals for the Human Rights Council (HRC) to send special envoys to monitor the situation of the North Korean civilians during DPR Korea’s time of disarmament, and provide adequate humanitarian help to those victimized by DPR Korea in response to them needing to disarm their nuclear activities;

10) Further Requests DPR Korea to report to the Security Council monthly on their disarmament of nuclear weaponry, which will then be ratified by the monthly monitoring of the IAEA, who will report whether disarmament of the in the North Korean Nuclear Weapons Program (NKNWP) is occurring;

11) Wishes to be actively seized in the matter.

In Summary a Resolution’s layout should go in the following order:

- HEADING
- THE ADDRESS
- PREAMBULATORY CLAUSES
- OPERATIVE CLAUSES

This is the contents of a Resolution
How to Help MUN Delegates Become Prepared

By Uday Mehra, International School of Amsterdam

Sample Resolution (Format Annotation)

FORUM: GA1 (Disarmament and International Security)

QUESTION OF: Nuclear Disarmament of DPR Korea

SUBMITTED BY: Japan

CO-SUBMITTED BY: The United Kingdom, the Republic of France, the Russian Federation, the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, the Republic of India, the Netherlands, the Italian Republic, Canada, the Republic of South Africa, Republic of Korea, Singapore, Commonwealth of Australia, the Federative Republic of Brazil

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY,

Recalling previous relevant United Nations resolution 825 (1993), resolution 1540 (2004), resolution 1695 (2006), and, in particular, resolution 1737, which illustrates the active involvement of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) as an authority force in the situation,

Recognizing the need of action as per implementation by the IAEA and the Organisation on the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW),

Fully alarmed that DPR Korea has not signed onto the treaty created by the coalition of the Six Party Talks regarding possession of Nuclear Weaponry and Missiles,

Expressing the concern of how DPR Korea plans to launch Satellites tests into the air zone of many neighboring nations,

Further recognizing how DPR Korea has withdrawn from the terms of the Nuclear Non Proliferation Treaty (NNPT)

Noting with Concern how states that pose a threat to international peace and security are referred to as Rogue States,

Further recalling the numerous Six-Party Peace Talks between the People’s Republic of China, Japan, the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea, the Republic of Korea, the Russian Federation, and the United States of America,

Congratulations nations such as the Republic of Korea and the United States of America for their negotiations in the Six-Party Peace Talks,

Emphasizing the importance that DPR Korea respond to the other security and humanitarian alarms regarding their respective society,

Noting the need for peace and stability in DPR Korea,

Affirming the production and delivery of unauthorized Nuclear, Chemical, and Biological Weapons create a threat to international peace and security,
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Articulating the great concern at the launch of ballistic missiles by DPR Korea,

Regarding that the launches are a violation of Article VII of the United Nations Charter,

1) **Urges** the use of IAEA and the OPCW in regard to:
   a) Monitoring the Chemical Weaponry of DPR Korea,
   b) Observing the use of Nuclear Power by DPR Korea,
   c) Examining the Satellites in regard to security and danger;

2) **Calls for** DPR Korea to terminate all Nuclear and Airborne Missile Activity by a set date, under the decision of the Six Party Talks, with the observance of the IAEA and the Security Council;

3) **Invites** the Security Council and the Six Party Talks members to discuss the nuclear status of DPR Korea;

4) **Asks** for the government of DPR Korea to:
   a) Allow the IAEA to:
      i) Monitor the disarming of all nuclear reactors,
      ii) Control the termination of all nuclear activity in regard to the agreements of the Six-Party Talks,
      iii) Report back to the Security Council and the Six-Party Talks the progress of DPR Korea in their nuclear disarmament,
   b) Allow the United Nations to repossess all relevant:
      i) Documents,
      ii) Nuclear warheads,
      iii) Other Nuclear appliances and,
      iv) Technology, which will be returned to DPR Korea once they have come to terms of both the NNPT and the Six-Party Talks and are able to ensure the maintenance of world security if they are to possess Nuclear Weaponry;

5) **Requests** DPR Korea to be sanctioned from the international community if failure to act on the current resolution by seizing direct and indirect supply of:
   a) Weaponry as authorized and registered by the UNRCA (United Nations Register on Conventional Arms),
   b) Nutritional Resources,
   c) Raw Material,
   d) Energy,
   e) Luxury Goods if DPR Korea continue with their nuclear activities;

6) **Suggests** that the Six-Party Talks endorse that an embargo be negotiated where:
   a) Commodities being sanctioned against DPR Korea be agreed,
   b) All Six-Party Talks members ensure the lifting of the embargo and notify DPR Korea of the time lapse of the embargo,
   c) DPR Korea ends their Nuclear Activity by the set time of the embargo, and if DPR Korea is to not comply to the terms of agreement, the embargo will:
      i) Be extended,
      ii) Restrict more commodities;
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iii) Be implemented immediately,
iv) The IAEA be given the jurisdiction to disarm DPR Korea under their discretion with the consultation of the Security Council;

7) Proposes that all member states, in conjunction with their respective legislations and national legal authorities, impose a tribunal for the National Leader of DPR Korea if they do not suspend their nuclear activity;

8) Further Calls for DPR Korea to sign the Six-Party Talks’ “Joint Statement” as drafted on the 19th of September 2005, which regards the conflict into stability, in hope for unilateral cooperation in their nuclear disarmament;

9) Appeals for the Human Rights Council (HRC) to send special envoys to monitor the situation of the North Korean civilians during DPR Korea’s time of disarmament, and provide adequate humanitarian help to those victimized by DPR Korea in response to them needing to disarm their nuclear activities;

10) Further Requests DPR Korea to report to the Security Council monthly on their disarmament of nuclear weaponry, which will then be ratified by the monthly monitoring of the IAEA, who will report whether disarmament of the in the North Korean Nuclear Weapons Program (NKNWP) is occurring;

11) Wishes to be actively seized in the matter.

Resolution Do’s and Don’ts

In summary, remember that in:

**Heading:**
Begin with “Forum” indicating the committee
Continue with “Submitted by” to indicate who is the main contributor/main speaker of the Resolution
Follow this by “Co-Submitted by” to illustrate those who’ve signed on (signatories) to the Resolution
Use the full official name of the delegations (one gets delegates to sign on during the Lobbying Stage)
Address the Committee (in Upper Case), following this, comes the Preambulatory clauses

**Preambulatory Clauses**
All Preambulatory clauses must end in commas(,)
Leave a line between every clause
No Clause-Opening words should be repeated in the resolution, if you need to reuse it, either use a similar word to it, or add “Further” before it like in “Further Requests” or add “Strongly” like “Strongly Requests”
All acronyms must be fully written out before appearing in the abbreviated form, you only need to write them out once, and then refer to the abbreviated form for the rest of the resolution

A period/full stop (.) ends the last operative clause, indicating the end of the resolution

Foot Notes:
1. Please refer to page 54 to learn about The Lobbying Process.
How to Help MUN Delegates Become Prepared

By Uday Mehra, International School of Amsterdam

In the Preambulatory Clauses PLEASE DO:

- State strong and recent facts about the issue
- Use statistics where applicable
- Mention the past situation and current situation
- Recall any passed resolutions by the UN on the issue
- Relate any other organisations involved in the situation
- State relevant organisations’ efforts in the situation
- If applicable, use developing countries/LEDGs or developed countries/ MEDCs

In the Preambulatory Clauses PLEASE DON’T:

- Be too one sided/bias
- Use “Third World countries”/ “poor countries” or “First World countries”/ “rich countries”

In the Operative Clauses PLEASE DO:

- Aim to find solutions, which solve the smaller problems that contribute to the issue
- Elaborate on the solutions in your operative clauses (sub clauses and sub-sub clauses)
- Make use of any UN organisations or create ones to help the issue
- Emphasize cooperation between governments and relevant UN organisations
- Explain different methods of approaching the same problem through clauses

In the Operative Clauses PLEASE DON’T:

- Break the sovereignty a government has over its land
- Mention specific sources and specific (numbers) amount of funding
- Be vague with your solutions, or simply state a way of solving something without explaining ways of doing so
- Create unrealistic solutions to the issue, which are vague solutions/ not possible to be put into force

In All Clauses PLEASE DON’T:

- PLAGIARISE: As Plagiarism isn’t at all tolerated at MUN conferences, even if one is trying to get ideas from resolutions. One can only use similar ideas, and rewrite them as one’s own, not use that particular clause/ resolution! At MUN conferences, plagiarism is dealt with strongly and often results in one being removed from the conference, and problems between ones school/delegation and the conference management.
Some Bad Clauses

1. **Asks for the Government of DPR Korea to withdraw all nuclear weapons;**

   **Explanation:** This is an unrealistic clause, and it is vague. It asks for DPR Korea to simply withdraw their weapons, however, it doesn’t state how they should, why they should (the consequence if they don’t, such as being sanctioned) and it doesn’t provide a time span in which they should do it. It could be asking them to withdraw their weaponry now, or in 50 years. It is unknown as it is not elaborate.

2. **Calls for the UN to collect $100,000,000 from DPR Korea for holding nuclear weapons and asks that the IMF gives one quarter of it to third world countries for development**

   **Explanation:** This clause refers to a specific amount of funding, which cannot be discussed. It also refers to a specific source and how much of the money they will take. As specific funds cannot be discussed at MUN, this clause is an example of a bad clause. The clause also refers to the colloquial term “third world countries”, which is not the official address of LEDCs. For it to be bettered, it could call on the United Nations to collect funds from DPR Korea if they refuse to disarm their nuclear program, and then could ask that relevant organisations use the funds in helping developing countries.

3. **Suggests that the currently government of DPR Korea immediately step down from power and allow the United Nations to act as a provisional government from where, re-elections should be held within one day the current government stepping down**

   **Explanation:** This clause is unrealistic as it asks a re-election to be held after one day of the government stepping down. In reality that is not possible as there would be conflict within the country that would first need to be dealt with and the disarming of nuclear weaponry would also need to be solved before a re-election can happen. As it asks for the government to step down and then have the UN act as a provisional government, it also breaches the sovereignty that DPR Korea has over its country, making it a strongly disputable clause.

4. **Requests within 60 days a report from the Director General of the IAEA on whether DPR Korea has established full and sustained suspension of all activities mentioned in this resolution, as well as on the process of Korean compliance with all the steps required by the IAEA Board and with the other provisions of this resolution, to the IAEA Board of Governors and in parallel to the Security Council for its consideration;**

   **Explanation:** Although this is a very good clause, and makes use of the IAEA well, and offers incentives to the situation, which member states can agree upon, this is however, a plagiarized clause from the UN Security Council Resolution 1737. As Plagiarism isn’t at all tolerated at MUN conferences, even if one is trying to get ideas from resolutions. One can only use similar ideas, and rewrite them as ones own, not use that particular clause/ resolution! At MUN conferences, plagiarism is dealt with strongly and often results in one being removed from the conference, and problems between ones school/delegation and the conference management.
### Useful Preambulatory Clause Starters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affirming</th>
<th>Having adopted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alarmed by</td>
<td>Having considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approving</td>
<td>Having considered further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aware of</td>
<td>Having devoted attention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing in mind</td>
<td>Having examined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Believing</td>
<td>Having heard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confident</td>
<td>Having received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contemplating</td>
<td>Having studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convinced</td>
<td>Keeping in mind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declaring</td>
<td>Noting with regret</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deeply concerned</td>
<td>Noting with deep concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deeply conscious</td>
<td>Noting with satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deeply convinced</td>
<td>Noting further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deeply disturbed</td>
<td>Noting with approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deeply regretting</td>
<td>Observing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desiring</td>
<td>Reaffirming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasizing</td>
<td>Realizing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expecting</td>
<td>Recalling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressing its appreciation</td>
<td>Recognizing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressing its satisfaction</td>
<td>Referring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfilling</td>
<td>Seeking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully alarmed</td>
<td>Taking into account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully aware</td>
<td>Taking into consideration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully believing</td>
<td>Taking note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further deploring</td>
<td>Viewing with appreciation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further recalling</td>
<td>Welcoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please Note: Any of these Clause Starters can be reused by adding “further” or “deeply” in-front of it. For example: “Noting” can be reused by becoming “Further Noting” or “Concern By” can be reused by becoming “Deeply Concerned By”

Courtesy of UNA-USA
http://www.unausa.org/munpreparation/resolutions/clauses
## Useful Operative Clause Starters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accepts</th>
<th>Endorses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affirms</td>
<td>Expresses its appreciation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approves</td>
<td>Expresses its hope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asks</td>
<td>Further proclaims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorizes</td>
<td>Further reminds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls</td>
<td>Further recommends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls for</td>
<td>Further requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls upon</td>
<td>Further resolves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condemns</td>
<td>Has resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirms</td>
<td>Hopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congratulates</td>
<td>Invites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considers</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decides</td>
<td>Proclaims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declares accordingly</td>
<td>Reaffirms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demands</td>
<td>Recommends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deplores</td>
<td>Regrets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designates</td>
<td>Reminds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draws the attention</td>
<td>Requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasizes</td>
<td>Sanctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourages</td>
<td>Solemnly affirms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endorses</td>
<td>Strongly condemns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expresses its appreciation</td>
<td>Strongly Urges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expresses its hope</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further invites</td>
<td>Suggests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deplores</td>
<td>Takes note of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designates</td>
<td>Transmits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draws the attention</td>
<td>Trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasizes</td>
<td>Urges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please Note: Any of these Clause Starters can be reused by adding “further” or “strongly” in-front of it. For example: “Requests” can be reused by becoming “Further Requests” or “Asks” can be reused by becoming “Strongly Asks”.

All clauses that are in red can only be used by the Security Council.

Courtesy of UNA-USA
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